

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/009,126	DES CLERS, BERTRAND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636	

All Participants:

(1) Hung T. Nguyen.

(2) Rob Kalinsky.

Status of Application: RCE's

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Webber et al. (WO 98/18001) & Uto et al. (U.S. 5,886,625)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The combination of Webber & Uto are remained for rejections and Mr. Kalinsky will contact his client for Examiner's Amendment as suggested by the examiner.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hung T. Nguyen
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)